

# **Ammonia Production**

# HIGHLIGHTS

**Ammonia** - Ammonia is critical in the manufacturing of fertilizers, and is one of the largestvolume synthetic inorganic chemicals produced worldwide<sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, ammonia is an ideal carbon-free energy carrier and storage material<sup>3</sup>,<sup>4</sup>.

**Processes and technology status** – The main industrial procedure for the production of ammonia is artificial nitrogen fixation called Haber–Bosch process. In this process, nitrogen  $(N_2)$  reacts with hydrogen  $(H_2)$  both at gaseous statues under high pressures of 150 to 200 bar and temperature 500 °C. The overall reaction is  $N_2 + 3H_2O \rightarrow 2NH_3 + 3/2O_2$ <sup>5</sup>, <sup>6</sup>. Feedstock for the Haber process are air and natural gas to supply  $N_2$  and  $H_2$  respectively. The other raw materials are coal and naphtha which release more than double greenhouse gases (GHGs) in comparison to natural gas <sup>5</sup>. Figure 1 depicts the ammonia production plant utilizing natural gas as feedstock. Novel electric assisted technologies such as direct electrochemical ammonia synthesis and solid oxide electrolyzers are, also, under development <sup>7</sup>.

**Cost** - Haber–Bosch production cost is the cost of the feedstock and the energy consumed, that is,  $80.36 \in_{2019}^{1/1}$  for natural gas and  $199.11 \in_{2019}/t$  for hydrogen by water electrolysis <sup>8</sup>.



Figure 1. Process scheme of ammonia synthesis - Haber-Bosch process 9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Converted from Dollars to Euros,  $1 \in {}_{2019} = 1.12 \$ {}_{2019} {}^{32}$ 



Capital investment for conventional Haber–Bosch facilities are essentially equivalent at  $276.11^2 \,\mathrm{M}_{2017}$  for 2000-tpd capacity. Grundt et al. estimated capital expenses of 176.99  $\mathrm{M}_{2017}$  for a 1000 tpd ammonia facility <sup>10</sup>.

**Potential and barriers** – Ammonia has the potential of being an energy carrier during and after the energy transition period <sup>11</sup>. As the barrier, ammonia production requires high pressures and temperatures. Moreover, the process requires a large amount of energy and generates significant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions <sup>5</sup>. Hence, ammonia plant revamps toward energy efficiency, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) removal system, beside the actual fuel and feedstock switching <sup>12</sup>, <sup>13</sup>.

**Process overview** - The first attempts toward ammonia attainment were carried out through the dry distillation of nitrogenous vegetable and animal products <sup>14</sup>; by the reduction of nitrous acid and nitrites with hydrogen; and also by the decomposition of ammonium salts <sup>15</sup>. Today, most ammonia is produced on a large scale by the Haber-Bosch process which is an artificial nitrogen fixation process. In this process, N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> gases are allowed to react at pressures of 200 bar and temperatures around 500 °C <sup>5</sup>. The stoichiometric value of feedstocks are 1:3 of N<sub>2</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>, while depending on the process, catalyst type and reaction temperatures the different ratios of N2:H2 are required for the 16 production optimum ammonia Nowadays, conventional Haber Bosch plants produce ammonia using natural gas

(50%), oil (31%) or coal (19%) as feedstock <sup>17</sup>. Natural gas is the preferred feedstock for ammonia production due to its low price and wide availability. Moreover, other high carbon content feedstocks such as coal and naphtha, release more than double GHGs amount, making them less attractive <sup>5</sup>, <sup>18</sup>. A conventional process scheme utilizing natural gas as feedstock is shown schematically in figures 1, 2 <sup>9</sup>, <sup>19</sup>.

**Desulphurization of the natural gas** - The first step in the process is desulphurization of the natural gas feed in order to avoid sulfur poisoning of the downstream catalysts.

**Reforming** - The second step is steam reforming, where the natural gas is reacted with steam to produce an equilibriu

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Converted from Dollars to Euros,1  ${\ensuremath{\in}\,}_{2017}{=}\,1.13$   ${\ensuremath{\$\,}_{2017}{=}}$ 



**E P O C** – Chemical sector decarbonization

Technology Brief May 2021



Figure 2. Conventional ammonia production plant

mixture of  $H_2$ , CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>. This reaction is endothermic, so it is carried out in tubular reactors placed in a heated furnace in order to supply the heat for the reaction and to maximize the equilibrium content of the desired products  $H_2$  and CO.

 $N_2$  from air - Following steam reforming, air is added to supply the  $N_2$ required for ammonia production, while the  $O_2$  from the air converts the remaining CH<sub>4</sub> in an exothermic reaction that increases the temperature and the H<sub>2</sub> and CO content further. The reaction mixture is then cooled and followed by a two-step water–gas shift process, where CO is reacted with steam and transformed into H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Since all oxygen-containing molecules poison the ammonia synthesis catalyst,  $CO_2$  is subsequently removed by absorption and a final CO/CO<sub>2</sub> cleanup is carried out, for example, by the methanation reaction <sup>9</sup>. Methanation is the reaction by which carbon oxides and hydrogen are converted to methane and water. The reaction is catalyzed by nickel catalysts <sup>20</sup>.

**Compression and the main reaction -** Before sending the synthesis gas into the ammonia reactor, it is compressed and, at a point before it reaches the ammonia catalyst, water is removed by condensation. As mentioned above, ammonia synthesis is an equilibrium-



limited exothermic reaction, and the ammonia product is favored by low temperature and high pressure <sup>9</sup>. The general reactions at the explained steps are categorized as the following list.

**Desulphurization** in a two-step process <sup>19</sup>: – Sulfur compounds catalytically hydrogenated to H<sub>2</sub>S:

- $H_2 + RSH \rightarrow RH + H_2S$
- Hydrogen sulfide removed by zinc oxide
- $H_2S + ZnO \rightarrow ZnS + H_2O$

**Reforming** in a two-step process to achieve correct H/N ratio:

- Primary reforming:
- CH<sub>4</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  CO + 3H<sub>2</sub> (reforming)
- CO + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub> (shift)

- 'Air-blown' secondary reforming (additional reactions):

- $CH_4 + 2O_2 + 8 N_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O + CO_2 + 8N_2$
- CH<sub>4</sub> + 3/2 O<sub>2</sub> + 6 N<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2H<sub>2</sub>O + CO +  $6N_2$

**Catalytic shift conversion** to convert CO to CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>:

• CO + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>

**Carbon dioxide removal** via an amine process

**Methanation** to remove residual amounts of CO or CO<sub>2</sub>:

- $CO + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O$
- $CO_2 + 4H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O$

Ammonia conversion (after compression)

•  $3H_2 + N_2 \rightarrow 2NH_3$ 

**Recycling of unreacted gases -** Most of the  $N_2$  and  $H_2$  passes unreacted through the reactor, and must be recycled in the loop after being cleaned for ammonia. Since the synthesis gas that is fed into the loop contains some inert gases (CH<sub>4</sub> and Ar), a purge is also needed in the loop to avoid too large a build-up of these diluents <sup>9</sup>.

#### Investment and production costs -

The price of ammonia is closely related to the price of the feedstock <sup>10</sup>. According to gross estimations, approximately 2/3 of consumed natural gas is used as a feedstock, while around 1/3 is used for energy purposes, and, it makes up approximately 70-85% of the ammonia costs 21. production Haber–Bosch production cost is the cost of the feedstock and the energy consumed, that is, 80.36  $\in_{2019}^{3/t}$  for natural gas and 199.11  $\in_{2019}/t$  for hydrogen by water electrolysis<sup>8</sup>. Capital investment for conventional Haber-Bosch facilities are essentially equivalent at 276.11<sup>4</sup> M€<sub>2017</sub> for 2000-tpd capacity <sup>10</sup>. Grundt et al. estimated capital expenses of 176.99 M $\in_{2017}$  for a 1000 tpd ammonia facility <sup>10</sup>.

# Carbon capture and storage (CCS) in ammonia production - Ammonia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Converted from Dollars to Euros,  $1 \in {}_{2019} = 1.12$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Converted from Dollars to Euros,  $1 \in 2017 = 1.13$ 



that is responsible for around 2-3 % of the world greenhouse gas emissions <sup>22</sup>. A modern, optimized and highly efficient methane-fed Haber-Bosch process emits 1.5-1.6 t<sub>CO2-eq</sub>/t<sub>NH3</sub> making the global manufacturing of ammonia accounting for 1.2% of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This value would further increase if CO<sub>2-eq</sub> emissions associated to the extraction and transport of natural gas are included <sup>17</sup>. CO<sub>2</sub> is generated primarily from two sources in the ammonia production via Haber-Bosch process: combustion of fuel in the primary reformer and removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from the syngas stream. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions stream released from the syngas are already highly purified, being approximately 99% CO<sub>2</sub>. Syngas CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are regularly captured at ammonia facilities to produce and is not contributed urea to environmental emissions nor available for  $CO_2$  capture and storage (CCS). Hence, CCS can be investigated for the combustion emissions from the primary reformer <sup>23</sup>. Including  $CO_2$  mitigation in the ammonia production allows to reduce the emissions but leads to a slight efficiency decrease due to the energy consumption for the  $CO_2$ compression. For the natural gas fed process yielding an energy efficiency around 65 %, the overall life cycle 0.79 emissions can be reduced to

mainly used in the fertilizer industry and

 $kg_{CO2}/kg_{NH3}$  with CO<sub>2</sub> capture compared to 1.6  $kg_{CO2}/kg_{NH3}$  without capture <sup>22</sup>. Hence, designing new ammonia plants with integrated carbon capture and storage (CCS) or retrofitting CCS to conventional plants does have notable potential <sup>24</sup>.

## Energy requirements of ammonia

**production** - The conventional highly optimized Haber–Bosch process uses about 7.9 kWh of energy derived from fossil fuels per kg NH<sub>3</sub> at the scale of 1,000 t/day, where 2.0 kWh/kg (including process heat credit from ammonia synthesis) is used for pressurization, heating, pumping and so on. This number will be higher at a smaller scale due to the increased heat losses<sup>8</sup>.

The minimum energy requirement for the Haber-Bosch process, defined as the heat of combustion of ammonia, is 18.6 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> based on the lower heating value of ammonia (LHV). This is the amount of energy chemical stored. For the methane fed process, the theoretical minimum energy input is 22.2 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> broken down as  $17.7 \text{ GJ/t}_{NH3}$  associated to the methane feedstock and 4.5 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> associated to methane fuel to fire the steam methane 17 For reforming (SMR) reactor comparison purposes, the energy requirement for the direct electrochemical synthesis of NH<sub>3</sub> from liquid water and



nitrogen at 25 °C and 1 bar is 19.9 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> (1.17 volts) <sup>17</sup>. Direct electrochemical ammonia synthesis and solid oxide electrolyzers without the need for Haber-Bosch or an air separation unit may offer large cost reduction of the production of 7. ammonia However. green electrochemical synthesis of ammonia present a low selectivity and low throughput at present, which increases its energy consumption far beyond the methane-fed Haber Bosch process <sup>17</sup>.

#### Ammonia as an energy storage

molecule - Recent studies have examined ammonia as an energy storage molecule and have ranged in focus from electrical transport in ammonia, energy to а comparison of hydrogen sources, to the implementation with actually renewable energy grid including islanded grid systems <sup>17</sup>. Ammonia is an ideal carbon-free energy storage material due to its high energy density (4.32 kWh/L), high weight fraction of hydrogen (17.65%) and ease of 3 liquefaction under mild conditions Energy storage in the ammonia chemical bonds would enable a much greater uptake of intermittent renewable power sources such as solar, tidal and wind, helping to balance the seasonal energy demands in a carbon-free distributed society and

ammonia production will find diverse applications. Energy can be delivered to the end-users by on-demand hydrogen production from ammonia in combination with fuel. Key potential of NH<sub>3</sub> as an energy carrier lies in its high volumetric energy density (15.6 MJ/L) which is 9 times more than that of Li-ion batteries (1.73 MJ/L) and almost 3 times that of compressed H<sub>2</sub> (5.5 MJ/L at 70 MPa)  $^{11}$ . cells<sup>17</sup>. Key potential of NH<sub>3</sub> as an energy carrier lies in its high volumetric energy density (15.6 MJ/L) which is 9 times more than that of Li-ion batteries (1.73 MJ/L) and almost 3 times that of compressed  $H_2$  (5.5) MJ/L at 70 MPa)<sup>11</sup>. This opens an opportunity to use NH<sub>3</sub> as a low-carbon energy storage medium that can be traded globally<sup>11</sup>. At ambient temperature, NH<sub>3</sub> can be readily liquefied if a pressure of about 10 bar is maintained or by cooling to -33 °C at atmospheric pressure. Consequently, the cost associated with storage and transportation of liquid NH<sub>3</sub> is expected to be lower than that for compressed hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) at 700 bar  $^{11}$ .

Furthermore, green ammonia has emerged as a promising fuel option especially for long-distance shipping because of its low carbon footprint <sup>7</sup>. Moreover, ammonia is a candidate for power generation, mobility purposes, and as a fuel for the internal



combustion engines  $^{25}$ . Ammonia can, in theory, be combusted to produce only nitrogen and water as emissions, although in practice, nitrous oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) are often also generated, especially if the combustion happens at higher temperatures and/or under pressure, such as in a vehicle engine. However, ammonia can be utilized as a fuel for chemical processes and technologies that are used to convert chemical energy into electricity and heat  $^{26}$ .

### Electrification of ammonia

production - The overall reaction of ammonia synthesis from N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> is exothermic, the dissociation of dinitrogen is considered as the rate-limiting step due to the high dissociate energy (9.8 eV). Therefore, high temperature and high pressure are used in the conventional thermal catalysis approach to improve the kinetics and shift the equilibrium in favor of ammonia respectively <sup>27</sup>. Current Haber-Bosch process evolved in the context of fossil fuels as the only feasible energy source, which led to its false optimization to accommodate the inefficiencies in hydrogen production from fossil fuels (e.g. methane). Indeed, the process is not optimized to reduce carbon emissions beyond reducing the methane feed and fuel requirement <sup>17</sup>.

In comparison to the conventional ammonia process, the sustainable future of the Haber-Bosch process (and the chemical industry in general) relies on the use of renewable energy as part of what is generally called electrification of the chemical industry <sup>17</sup>. Renewable energy has the potential to provide all the energy requirements, replacing methane as both feedstock and fuel <sup>17</sup>. The estimated 2018 global production of renewable wind and solar energy of 2480 TWh is sufficient to produce the current global demand of ammonia estimated as 140 Mt y1 for 2014 requiring 1556 TWh of electricity <sup>17</sup>. One promising solution to decarbonization of production is ammonia to generate hydrogen from water electrolysis using electricity originated from solar or wind sources. Since cost of renewable electricity generation is rapidly decreasing and scientists are making significant advances in electro-catalysts, the ammonia synthesis by green  $H_2$  will become economically viable <sup>28</sup>. Figure 3 depicts the difference between conventional methane-fed and electrified Haber-Bosch for process ammonia production <sup>17</sup>.

Switching the hydrogen production method from methane to hydropower-electrolysis reduces the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 1.5 to 0.38  $t_{CO2-eq}/t_{NH3}$  (75% decrease) <sup>17</sup>. 76% of the



methane consumed in the process is associated with the production of hydrogen via the SMR reaction and the remaining 24% of the methane is consumed as fuel to provide heat of reaction for the endothermic reforming reaction and to raise the necessary process steam <sup>17</sup>.

Assuming that the electrically-driven Haber-Bosch process requires a 38.2 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> (35.5 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> for hydrogen 60% production assuming efficient electrolyzer and approximately 2.7 GJ/t<sub>NH3</sub> for the  $N_2$  separation and Haber–Bosch Technology Brief May 2021

loop compressors), a wind powered ammonia process will have a carbon intensity of  $0.12-0.53 t_{CO2-eq}/t_{NH3}$ <sup>17</sup>.

For developing of a carbon-free ammonia production three main steps are required. First, the decoupling of plant from methane reforming e.g. by implementing of high efficient water electrolysis; second, replacement of condensing steam turbine compressors by electric compressors; third, alternating ammonia separation techniques to the decreased operating pressure ones <sup>17</sup>.



Figure 3. Schematic difference between conventional methane-fed (A) and electrified (B) Haber Bosch process for ammonia production <sup>17</sup>.

EPOC – Chemical sector decarbonization

#### Technology Brief May 2021

# Novel ammonia production processes

I-**Biomass-to-ammonia** The ammonia production fuel decarbonization is possible through CCS, beside the usage of renewable energies and resources such as biomass<sup>22</sup>. Ligno-cellulosic biomass can be used as a source of hydrogen for ammonia <sup>18</sup>. A biomass-to-ammonia production production pathway with inputs of N<sub>2</sub> from air and H<sub>2</sub> from gasification of biomass is shown in Figure 4. The proportion of land area required for highly productive biomass species is only a small fraction (1-5%) of the grower's acreage. Thus, a small investment in land for a bioenergy crop could produce the ammonia to increase crop yield greatly on the remaining 95% of acreage <sup>18</sup>.

The other option is the development of alternative methods of production such as plasma reactions and electrochemical processes  $^{24}$ . Moreover, getting inspired from nature, chemists are developing transition-metal-dinitrogen complexes to enable the reduction of N<sub>2</sub> to ammonia under mild reaction conditions  $^{28}$ .

II-Ammonia production with 100% renewable energy - As a practical case, Ola Osman et. Al. have optimized the design of an industrial-scale ammonia plant (1840 Mt/day) that utilizes 100% renewable energy. They concluded that electrolysis was the most energy intensive part of the process, which if run continuously, has a specific energy consumption of 10.43 kWh/kg-NH<sub>3</sub> and an electric process efficiency of 37.4%<sup>29</sup>.



Figure 4. Simplified flow diagram of the biomass to ammonia production process <sup>18</sup>.



To adapt the ammonia production process to the variable renewable energy supply, energy storage is needed, namely batteries, thermal storage, nitrogen and hydrogen to support the ammonia synthesis that would need to be operated continuously. This storage is also used to address process limitations (limited modulation capability for the air separation unit) or as buffers for systems that can operate variably (desalination and electrolysis)<sup>29</sup>.

Moreover, using semiconductors with sunlight to drive photocatalytic reactions in a Solar Ammonia Refinery is another being approach pursued to realize environmentally friendly ammonia 28 synthesis As a case in point, nanostructured metals with localized surface plasmon resonance effect, including ammonia synthesis metals Fe and Ru<sup>5</sup>, can concentrate the diffused solar flux to generate heat in a small volume workable for N<sub>2</sub> dissociation. The novel catalyst could kinetically reduce the energy barrier of ammonia synthesis <sup>30</sup>.

Furthermore, plasma, generated by ionization of gases, provides a unique way to synthesize ammonia or nitric oxide from N<sub>2</sub>. In this process, N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> molecules dissociate into free radicals in the plasma. In addition, the use of catalysts in combination with the plasma was found to promote ammonia formation from N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> under atmospheric pressure  $^{28}$ ,  $^{31}$ . Plasma-assisted nitrogen fixation has been considered a potential green alternative for conventional Haber–Bosch process and has recently received a lot of attention <sup>27</sup>. The optimal feed gas ratio (N<sub>2</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>) for blank reactor and the catalyst-packed reactor are 1:3 and 2:1 correspondingly <sup>27</sup>. Plasmaassisted nitrogen fixation has many advantages including one-step synthesis, fast-reaction and instant on-off control. More importantly, it can meet the requirement for small-scale, decentralized production, and can be better coupled with electricity generated from renewable sources <sup>27</sup>. Researches have shown that with a proper plasma-catalysts system, ammonia could be produced at a rate which is comparable with the Haber-Bosch process. The dielectric barrier discharge reactor was one of the most reported reactor types. well-recognized low-Known as a temperature catalyst for ammonia synthesis in thermal catalysis, ruthenium could be a promising choice for plasma-catalysis in which the gas temperature is normally low. 27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Fe: Iron, a metal; and, Ru: Ruthenium, a rare transition metal belonging to the platinum group



Table1. Summary Table: Key ammonia production data

Technical Performance	Desulphurization of the	Reforming
	natural gas	
Energy type inputs	Natural gas, coal and naphtha	Natural gas, coal and naphtha
Output products	Desulphurized natural gas feed	H <sub>2</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , and CH <sub>4</sub>
Environmental Impact		
Emitted CO <sub>2</sub> For the natural gas fed process (kgCO <sub>2</sub> /kgNH <sub>3</sub> )		0.79 with CO <sub>2</sub> capture
		1.6 without capture <sup>22</sup>
Costs		
Plant size of 2000 tpd*		276.11 M€ <sub>2017</sub> <sup>10</sup>
Plant size of 1000 tpd		176.99 M€ <sub>2017</sub> <sup>10</sup>
Energy requirements		Total heat <sup>17</sup>
For the methane fed		22.2 GJ/t <sub>NH3</sub>
For the direct electrochemical synthesis of NH <sub>3</sub>		19.9 GJ/t <sub>NH3</sub>
Materials (For natural gas and air based production)		(kg/kg ammonia)**
N <sub>2</sub>		0.41
H <sub>2</sub>		0.18

\* ton per day

\*\* Calculated based on stoichiometric ratio and 100% conversion, taking into account the molecular weights of

components



**E P O C** – Chemical sector decarbonization

# References

- 1. Zhang H, Wang L, Van herle J, Maréchal F, Desideri U. Techno-economic comparison of green ammonia production processes. *Appl Energy*. 2020;259(October 2019):114135. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114135
- 2. Nabil P, El A. Simulation of Ammonia Production using HYSYS Software. *Chem Process Eng Res.* 2020;62:14-22. doi:10.7176/cper/62-03
- 3. Yao Y, Wang J, Shahid U Bin, et al. *Electrochemical Synthesis of Ammonia from Nitrogen Under Mild Conditions: Current Status and Challenges*. Vol 3. Springer Singapore; 2020. doi:10.1007/s41918-019-00061-3
- 4. Zhao R, Xie H, Chang L, et al. Recent progress in the electrochemical ammonia synthesis under ambient conditions. *EnergyChem*. 2019;1(2):100011. doi:10.1016/j.enchem.2019.100011
- Arora P, Hoadley AFA, Mahajani SM, Ganesh A. Small-Scale Ammonia Production from Biomass: A Techno-Enviro- Economic Perspective. Published online 2016. doi:10.1021/acs.iecr.5b04937
- 6. Modak JM. Haber process for ammonia synthesis. *Resonance*. 2011;16(12):1159-1167. doi:10.1007/s12045-011-0130-0
- 7. Al-Aboosi FY, El-Halwagi MM, Moore M, Nielsen RB. Renewable ammonia as an alternative fuel for the shipping industry. *Curr Opin Chem Eng.* 2021;31:100670. doi:10.1016/j.coche.2021.100670
- 8. Soloveichik G. Electrochemical synthesis of ammonia as a potential alternative to the Haber Bosch process. *Nat Catal*. 2019;2(May):377-380. doi:10.1038/s41929-019-0280-0
- 9. Hellman A, Honkala K, Dahl S, Christensen CH, Nørskov JK. *Ammonia Synthesis: State of the Bellwether Reaction*. Vol 7. Elsevier Ltd.; 2013. doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-097774-4.00725-7
- 10. Pfromm PH. Towards sustainable agriculture : fossil-free ammonia Keywords 1 Introduction.
- 11. Wang M, Khan MA, Mohsin I, et al. Can sustainable ammonia synthesis pathways compete with fossil-fuel based Haber–Bosch processes? *Energy Environ Sci.* Published online 2021. doi:10.1039/d0ee03808c
- 12. Ammonia plant revamp to decarbonize: Yara Sluiskil AMMONIA INDUSTRY. Accessed July 28, 2020. https://ammoniaindustry.com/ammonia-plant-revamp-to-decarbonize-yara-sluiskil/
- 13. Ali SZ. Avoiding the Conventional Revamp Philosophy for an Ammonia Plant. Published online 2011:179-190.
- 14. Sutton MA, Erisman JW, Dentener F, Möller D. Ammonia in the environment: From ancient times to the present. *Environ Pollut*. 2008;156(3):583-604. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2008.03.013
- 15. Niu Q, Xu Q, Wang Y, et al. Enhanced hydrogen accumulation from waste activated sludge by combining ultrasonic and free nitrous acid pretreatment: Performance, mechanism, and implication. *Bioresour Technol*. 2019;285(April):121363. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121363
- 16. Smith C, Torrente-Murciano L. Exceeding Single-Pass Equilibrium with Integrated Absorption Separation for Ammonia Synthesis Using Renewable Energy—Redefining the Haber-Bosch Loop. *Adv Energy Mater.* 2021;11(13). doi:10.1002/aenm.202003845
- 17. Smith C, Hill AK, Torrente-Murciano L. Current and future role of Haber-Bosch ammonia in a carbon-free energy landscape. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2020;13(2):331-344.

Provided by Talieh Rajabloo talieh.rajabloo@uhasselt.be **E P O C** – Chemical sector decarbonization



doi:10.1039/c9ee02873k

- 18. Gençer E, Burniske GR, Doering OC, et al. Sustainable production of ammonia fertilizers from biomass. *Biofuels, Bioprod Biorefining*. 2020;14(4):725-733. doi:10.1002/bbb.2101
- 19. Burns D. Syngas to Ammonia Cycle at Reduced Cost. 2016;(October).
- 20. Ricca A, Truda L, Palma V. Study of the role of chemical support and structured carrier on the CO2 methanation reaction. *Chem Eng J.* 2019;377(November 2018):120461. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2018.11.159
- 21. Rizos V, Infelise F, Luchetta G, et al. COMPOSITION AND DRIVERS OF ENERGY PRICES AND COSTS IN ENERGY INTENSIVE INDUSTRIES: THE CASE OF THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY - AMMONIA.; 2014.
- 22. Tock L, Perrenoud M. Thermo-environomic Evaluation of the Ammonia Production. 2015;93(February):356-362. doi:10.1002/cjce.22126
- 23. Young B, Krynock M, Carlson D, et al. Comparative environmental life cycle assessment of carbon capture for petroleum refining, ammonia production, and thermoelectric power generation in the United States. *Int J Greenh Gas Control*. 2019;91(October):102821. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2019.102821
- 24. Ye L, Nayak-luke R. Reaction : "' Green "' Ammonia Production. 2017;(2012):712-714. doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2017.10.016
- 25. Cardoso JS, Silva V, Rocha RC, Hall MJ, Costa M, Eusébio D. Ammonia as an energy vector: Current and future prospects for low-carbon fuel applications in internal combustion engines. *J Clean Prod.* 2021;296. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126562
- 26. Chehade G, Dincer I. Progress in green ammonia production as potential carbon-free fuel. *Fuel*. 2021;299(April):120845. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2021.120845
- 27. Li S, van Raak T, Gallucci F. Investigating the operation parameters for ammonia synthesis in dielectric barrier discharge reactors. *J Phys D Appl Phys*. 2020;53(1):014008. doi:10.1088/1361-6463/ab4b37
- 28. Qing G, Ghazfar R, Jackowski ST, et al. Recent Advances and Challenges of Electrocatalytic N2Reduction to Ammonia. *Chem Rev.* 2020;120(12):5437-5516. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00659
- 29. Osman O, Sgouridis S, Sleptchenko A. Scaling the production of renewable ammonia : A techno-economic optimization applied in regions with high insolation. *J Clean Prod*. 2020;271:121627. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121627
- Mao C, Li H, Gu H, et al. Beyond the Thermal Equilibrium Limit of Ammonia Synthesis with Dual Temperature Zone Catalyst Powered by Solar Light. *Chem.* 2019;5(10):2702-2717. doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2019.07.021
- 31. Wang L, Xia M, Wang H, et al. Greening Ammonia toward the Solar Ammonia Refinery. *Joule*. 2018;2(6):1055-1074. doi:10.1016/j.joule.2018.04.017
- 32. Euro to US Dollar Spot Exchange Rates for 2019. Accessed May 7, 2021. https://www.exchangerates.org.uk/EUR-USD-spot-exchange-rates-history-2019.html